



FACILITY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT

Division of Waste Management Solid Waste Section

UNIT TYPE:												
Lined MSWLF		LCID		YW		Transfer		Compost		SLAS	X	COUNTY: Alleghany PERMIT NO.: SLAS-03-04 FILE TYPE: COMPLIANCE
Closed MSWLF		HHW		White goods		Incineration		T&P		FIRM		
CDFL		Tire T&P / Collection		Tire Monofill		Industrial Landfill		DEMO		SDTF		

Date of Site Inspection: November 13, 2013

Date of Last Inspection: October 11, 2013

FACILITY NAME AND ADDRESS:

Haynes Septage Land Application Site
 Highway 18
 Alleghany County, NC

GPS COORDINATES: N: 36.55624 W: 80.93185

FACILITY CONTACT NAME AND PHONE NUMBER:

Name: Aaron Robinson
 Telephone: (276) 236-2355

FACILITY CONTACT ADDRESS:

3572 Peppers Ferry Road
 Wytheville, Virginia 24382

PARTICIPANTS:

Chris Coderre, Haynes
 Charles Gerstell, NCDENR-Solid Waste Section

STATUS OF PERMIT:

Active

PURPOSE OF SITE VISIT:

Routine Inspection

STATUS OF PAST NOTED VIOLATIONS:

N/A

OBSERVED VIOLATIONS

None

The item(s) listed above were observed by Section staff and require action on behalf of the facility in order to come into or maintain compliance with the Statutes, Rules, and/or other regulatory requirements applicable to this facility. Be advised that pursuant to N.C.G.S. 130A-22, an administrative penalty of up to \$15,000 per day may be assessed for each violation of the Solid Waste Laws, Regulations, Conditions of a Permit, or Order under Article 9 of Chapter 130A of the N.C. General Statutes. Further, the facility and/or all responsible parties may be subject to enforcement actions including penalties, injunction from operation of a solid waste management facility or a solid waste collection service and any such further relief as may be necessary to achieve compliance with the North Carolina Solid Waste Management Act and Rules.

FACILITY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT
Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Section

Page 2 of 3

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. This inspection was performed to collect soil samples from the septage land application field. A check was received for payment to the NCDA & CS.
2. Inspection of Field #1:
 - Proper signage was located at the entrance to the field.
 - The application limits were clearly marked with orange posts.
 - No evidence of runoff or application outside the approved area was observed.
 - The application field had a good stand of vegetation.
 - No evidence of rutting was observed.
 - It appeared that the required setbacks were being maintained.
 - Please ensure that septage is applied with a thin, even application to ensure there is no ponding or damage to vegetation.
 - A very thick deposit of septage was observed 145.5-feet from the entrance to the field. This area should be raked up and spread out to prevent damage to vegetation.
3. Inspection of Field #2:
 - Proper signage was located at the entrance to the field.
 - The application boundaries were marked with orange posts. The installation of additional markers discussed during the previous inspection had not yet occurred. Mr. Coderre explained that the materials had been obtained, but time had not allowed for installation of the markers. The additional markers should be installed in the near future.
 - No evidence of runoff or application outside the approved area was observed.
 - No evidence of rutting was observed.
 - It appeared that the required setbacks were being maintained.
 - As noted for Field #1, please ensure that septage is applied with a thin, even application to ensure there is no ponding or damage to vegetation. It appeared that application of septage near the graveyard to the east was too heavy. Vegetation was laid over and some portions completely covered. Adjust application rates as necessary.
4. Inspection of Field #3:
 - Proper signage was located at the entrance to the field.
 - A gate is located at the entrance to the site to prevent unauthorized access.
 - It did not appear that the field had been used in quite some time. Mr. Coderre stated that he did not believe the field had been used in 2013.
 - No evidence of runoff or application outside the approved area was observed.
 - No evidence of rutting was observed.
 - It appeared that required setbacks were being maintained.
 - As noted for Field #2, the installation of additional markers discussed during the previous inspection had not yet occurred. Mr. Coderre explained that the materials had been obtained, but time had not allowed for installation of the markers. The additional markers should be installed in the near future.

FACILITY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT
Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Section

Page 3 of 3

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this inspection report.



Charles T. Gerstell
Environmental Senior Specialist
Regional Representative

Phone: (704) 235-2144

Sent on: <u>11/22/13</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Email		Hand delivery		US Mail		Certified No.
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------	--	---------------	--	---------	--	---------------

Copies: Jason Watkins, Western District Supervisor
Tony Gallagher, Composting & Land Application Branch Head
Elizabeth Patterson, Environmental Technician, Composting & Land Application Branch